

**SAN MATEO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY (TA)
1250 SAN CARLOS AVENUE, SAN CARLOS, CA 94070**

MINUTES OF MAY 3, 2018

MEMBERS PRESENT: E. Beach, M. Freschet, C. Groom, K. Matsumoto, R. Medina, C. Johnson (Vice Chair)

MEMBERS ABSENT: D. Horsley (Chair)

STAFF PRESENT: J. Hartnett, C. Mau, J. Cassman, A. Chan, C. Fromson, J. Hurley, J. Slavitt, C. Boland, J. Brook

CALL TO ORDER/PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Vice Chair Johnson called the meeting to order at 5:02 pm and led the Pledge of Allegiance.

ROLL CALL

Assistant District Secretary Boland called the roll. A quorum was present.

REPORT OF THE CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Joe Hurley, Director Transportation Authority Program, noted the report by Committee Chair Barbara Arietta was in the meeting packet.

CONSENT CALENDAR

- Approval of Minutes of April 5, 2018
- Acceptance of Statement of Revenues and Expenses for March 2018
- Acceptance of Quarterly Investment Report

Motion/Second: Matsumoto/Medina

Ayes: Beach, Freschet, Groom, Matsumoto, Medina, Johnson

Absent: Horsley

PUBLIC COMMENT

- Rich Hedges, San Mateo, briefly reported on a meeting he had with South San Francisco and Brisbane city council members and two people from SamTrans on the idea of possibly extending the 3rd Street light rail into Oyster Point.

CHAIRPERSON'S REPORT

No report.

SAN MATEO COUNTY TRANSIT DISTRICT LIAISON REPORT

Director Matsumoto noted that her report was in the meeting packet.

PENINSULA CORRIDOR JOINT POWERS BOARD (JPB) REPORT – J. HARTNETT

Jim Hartnett, Executive Director, noted that his report was in the reading file. He said that a key feature of the report is the deficit budget.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR – J. HARTNETT

Mr. Hartnett said his written report was in the reading file.

AUTHORIZING AMENDMENT OF THE FY 2018 BUDGET TO INCREASE TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES FROM \$87,101,864 TO A NEW FY 2018 TOTAL BUDGET OF \$88,848,158

Derek Hansel, Chief Financial Officer, stated that the increase is being requested to address truing up certain expenditure categories and matching actual expenditures for oversight and staff support.

Resolution No. 2018-10:

Motion/Second: Medina/Beach

Ayes: Beach, Freschet, Groom, Matsumoto, Medina, Johnson

Absent: Horsley

CALL FOR PUBLIC HEARING ON JUNE 7, 2018: FISCAL YEAR 2019 BUDGET

Mr. Hansel noted that the FY 2019 budget would be considered for adoption at the upcoming board meeting in June.

Motion/Second: Groom/Freschet

Ayes: Beach, Freschet, Groom, Matsumoto, Medina, Johnson

Absent: Horsley

Vice Chair Johnson tabled Item 12, Review of Preliminary 2019 Operating and Capital Budgets, until later in the meeting, due to a presentation delay.

PROGRAM

State and Federal Legislative Update

Casey Fromson, Director of Government and Community Affairs, gave a summary of approved bills and new funding received. Mr. Hurley stated that he and Mr. Hartnett met with a state task force in Sacramento that is working on improving inter-agency communication to deliver projects in a more timely manner.

Approval of Shuttle Applications and Programming and Allocation of Measure A Local Shuttle Program Funds for 33 Shuttles for Fiscal Years 2019 and 2020

Joel Slavit, Programming and Monitoring Manager, said that recommendations for approval of the program remain the same as when presented as an informational item at the last Board meeting.

Resolution No. 2018-12:

Motion/Second: Beach/Matsumoto

Ayes: Beach, Freschet, Groom, Matsumoto, Medina, Johnson

Absent: Horsley

Program Report: Ferry Service

Kevin Connolly, Planning and Development Manager, Water Emergency Transportation Authority (WETA), presented an overview of ferry service between South San Francisco and the East Bay. He described WETA's plans for increasing service from South San Francisco, and said WETA anticipates initiating two-way peak service in Redwood City.

Request by the City of Redwood City for Measure A Funds to Prepare a Feasibility Study and Cost/Benefit Analysis for a Proposed Ferry Terminal in Redwood City

Mr. Slavitt said that staff recommended the board approve funds for the feasibility study and cost/benefit analysis, and noted that the City of Redwood City would be the lead agency on the project. He was accompanied by Christopher Dacumos, Management Analyst with City of Redwood City Community Development and Mike Giari, Director of the Port of Redwood City.

Public Comment:

Drew, San Mateo, questioned spending \$500K for a feasibility study.

Mr. Dacumos and Mr. Slavitt answered questions from the directors.

Resolution No. 2018-11:

Motion/Second: Beach/Freschet

Ayes: Beach, Freschet, Groom, Matsumoto, Medina, Johnson

Absent: Horsley

Vice Chair Johnson noted that the Board would return to Item 12, Review of Preliminary 2019 Operating and Capital Budgets, before proceeding with Item 14.

Review of Preliminary 2019 Budget

Derek Hansel, Chief Operating Officer, provided a presentation on the proposed budget for Fiscal Year 2019. He stated that the bulk of Measure A funding comes from sales tax revenue.

REQUESTS FROM THE AUTHORITY

None.

WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS TO THE AUTHORITY

Vice Chair Johnson noted that correspondence was in the reading file.

DATE/TIME OF NEXT REGULAR MEETING

Thursday, June 7, 2018, 5:00 p.m. at San Mateo County Transit District Administrative Building, Bacciocco Auditorium, 2nd Floor, 1250 San Carlos Avenue, San Carlos, CA 94070

REPORT OF LEGAL COUNSEL

Joan Cassman, Legal Counsel, announced that closed sessions were not necessary.

ADJOURN

The meeting adjourned at 6:00 pm.

San Mateo County Transportation Authority Board Meeting
Minutes of May 3, 2018

An audio/video recording of this meeting is available online at www.smcta.com.
Questions may be referred to the Board Secretary's office by phone at
650.508.6279 or by email to board@smcta.com.

TA CAC Chair's Report

May 3, 2018

Good evening Chairman Horsley and Members of the Board,

I have the following to report from Tuesday's meeting of the CAC:

The CAC reviewed and supported the three items on your consent calendar: the Board's Minutes of April 5, 2018, Acceptance of the Statement of Revenues and Expenses for March 2018 and Acceptance of the Quarterly Investment Report without questions or comments.

(TA Item 10) The CAC supported the Authorization of an Amendment of the FY 2018 Budget to Increase Total Operating Expenses from \$87,101,864 to a new FY 2018 Total Budget of \$88,848,158

(TA Item 12) The CAC received a brief Review of the Preliminary FY 2019 Operating and Capital Budgets from Ryan Hinchman, Manager of Financial Planning and Analysis. No action was requested from the CAC. The CAC was advised that staff will present a final FY 2019 budget proposal for Board adoption at the June 7, 2018 Board meeting. The CAC was pleased to see that for FY 2019, total revenue for the TA is projected to be \$93.1 million, an increase of \$3.7 million or 4.2 percent greater than the FY 2018 revised proposed budget.

(TA Item 13a) The CAC received a State and Federal Legislative Update from Lori Low, Government and Community Affairs Officer, who advised that the staff recommendation was to support the Indirect Cost Recovery Program (ICRP) Exemption. CAC members agreed and said it would allow us to save money if we could be charged only for direct costs, and not indirect costs. A number of CAC members questioned Caltrans oversight costs in reference to the Managed Lanes Project. They questioned who should be making the decisions, doing the maintenance or assuming the risks.

(TA Item 13b) After a brief update by Joel Slavit, Manager of Programming and Monitoring, the CAC supported the Approval of Shuttle Applications and Programming and Allocation of Measure A Local Shuttle Program Funds for 33 Shuttles for FYs 2019 and 2020. After Joel's explanation of the reasons why the SamTrans SFOX Shuttle, Menlo Park's Shoppers Shuttle and Burlingame's East-West Shuttle were not recommended, the CAC understood the reasons for the denial and agreed with the decision not to fund those shuttles at this time.

(TA Item 13c) The CAC received a report on Ferry Service from Joel Slavit. The CAC had several questions including questions about the "last mile" connections for the ferry commuters in South San Francisco, as well as future ferry terminal locations. Suggestions for increasing ridership included informing the public about the "time value" in taking public transit. Other suggestions were made regarding a ferry terminal on Treasure Island to help alleviate future traffic congestion from future planned developments in that area. Members of the CAC questioned if the ferries are competitive with other modes of transportation. What would the routes look like? Where will the new connections be?

(TA Item 13d) The CAC supported the Programming and Allocation of \$450,000 in Measure A Funds from the Ferry Program Category to Prepare a Feasibility Study and Cost/Benefit Analysis for a Proposed Ferry Terminal in Redwood City. A CAC member was concerned with the future Redwood City ferry terminal location because of dust at that location near the Seaport Center. Apparently there is a fine dust that comes from the CEMAX operations that covers cars parked in that location.

Comments were also made about potential traffic problems that might arise out of having only a few lanes available for travel to and from the terminal. A final suggestion was made to take a look at Google's experience of several years ago in which Google had a pilot ferry of its own to find out the lessons learned by Google that caused it to abandon the project. The CAC understood that these are questions and issues that will be addressed in the subject feasibility study and looked forward to its findings.

CAC CHAIR'S REPORT:

In my own report to the CAC, I advised the CAC that, in light of the recent approvals of several mass developments in at least three of our cities in the peninsula, it is more important than ever for CAC members to communicate to the San Mateo County Transportation Authority (TA) about any talk that they hear of or read about concerning future developments in the cities in San Mateo County, in order to help mitigate any future traffic congestion that these developments might add to the already congested roads in this county.

Likewise it is also important to encourage our members to try to attend planning commission and or city council meetings where such matters are being debated in order to advise both the commissions and the councils about how critical it is to co-ordinate with the Transportation Authority, in order to mitigate for any added traffic that might arise from such future developments.

I also reminded the CAC that in next month's election Regional Measure III is on the ballot and that a very thorough description of the thirty five projects that will be funded by this Measure can be found in the election brochure. I suggested that the CAC read it and educate others about the Measure and how it can benefit the Transportation projects and programs in this county.

STAFF REPORT TO CAC:

Joe Hurley, Transportation Authority Program Director, advised the CAC of the CTC meeting later this month on SB-1 allocation decisions. He also advised the CAC that there will be a brief celebration conducted at San Mateo City Hall next Monday evening, June 7th, in San Mateo in reference to the recently completed Hwy 92/El Camino Real project. Joe also reported that the TA is working with a number of cities on current highway landscaping projects.

He concluded his report by stating that the TA has received a record number of applicants (17) for the six current openings on the TA CAC and that interviews for the appointments will be conducted mid-May.

Respectfully submitted,

BARBARA ARIETTA
Chair, San Mateo County Transportation Authority CAC